

15 recommendations for improving the rapid REA #1

- 1 The current timetable should be followed
- 2 A project alignment meeting 60 days prior to the scoping meeting should be introduced
- 3 The primary objective of JA3 pilots should be re-use but other process and methodological issues still need to be resolved
- 4 The lead author should be chosen on based experience and should be planning to assess the product in their own market. This would imply that the lead author is directly involved in a national HTA process. The role of lead and co-author should be made explicit
- 5 To understand the benefits in terms of re-use, the pilots in JA3 should reflect different types of product. This should be more explicit than JA2
- 6 Participation should continue to be voluntary while the process is being piloted. In order to encourage company participation, pilots should explicitly aim at adopting the report in participating agency processes.
- 7 The inclusion of patients and physicians in the process should be piloted in JA 3

1

15 recommendations for improving the rapid REA #2

- 8 The objective of different pilots, at least at a high level, should be transparent and discussed with the MAH
- 9 Feedback should be a formal part of the process and lessons from the pilots shared with MAH, industry stakeholders and WP5 members
- 10 The EUnetHTA methodology should continue to a best practice model and not a collation of all the methodological approaches used by the national HTA frameworks
- 11 The guidelines should be incrementally improved and where authors take a different position, there should be a requirement to explain the rationale
- 12 The role of safety analysis needs to be reconsidered and tested in JA3
- 13 The tracking of re-use requires consistent definitions, a focus on whether this reduces duplication and more consistent reporting
- 14 If re-use is to occur, all stakeholders need to commit to encourage its use.
- 15 The pilots under JA3 should investigate the value of explicitly defining where the Rapid Assessment should replace elements of the national assessment. It seems most realistic this could be through a coalition of the willing

4